



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/670,917 09/29/2000		09/29/2000	Norikazu Mizuno	81877.0007	1895	
26021	7590	10/22/2002				
HOGAN &			EXAMINER			
500 S. GRAN SUITE 1900	ID AVEI	NUE	GUERRERO, MARIA F			
LOS ANGEI	ES, CA	90071-2611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2822		
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						U/	
				Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)	
				09/670,917		MIZUNO ET AL.	
٠ (Offic	Action Summary	<u> </u>	Examiner		Art Unit	
				Maria Guerrero		2822	
T Period for R	h MAIL	ING DATE of this communic	ation appe	ears on the cover sh	eet with the c		Idress
A SHOR THE MAI - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to - Any reply earmed pa Status 1) □ Ri 2a) □ TI 3) □ Si	TENED ILING D is of time m (6) MONTH od for reply od for reply reply withir received by itent term a esponsi his actio ince this osed in a	application is in condition taccordance with the praction	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136 nication. days, a reply victory period will ill, by statute, of the mailing of d on 26 Ju b) This for allowan	S(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimum I apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to because of this communication, and action is non-final.	may a reply be tim of thirty (30) days i) MONTHS from to the MANDONEC even if timely filed,	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). may reduce any	ommunication.
·		<u>,2 and 4-21</u> is/are pending	in the app	lication			
		above claim(s) <u>9 and 13-18</u>	• •		eration		
		is/are allowed.	10,410 1111		ration.		
		2,4-8,10-12 and 19-21 is/a	re reiected				
		is/are objected to.	0 10,000.00	•			
	im(s) _	are subject to restriction	on and/or e	election requiremen	t.		
	•	ation is objected to by the I	Examiner.				
		(s) filed on is/are: a		ed or b) objected to	by the Exam	niner	
		nay not request that any object					
		ed drawing correction filed o					er.
		l, corrected drawings are requi			_	,	•••
12) The	oath or	declaration is objected to b	y the Exar	niner.			
Pri rity unde	er 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Ack	nowledg	gment is made of a claim fo	r foreign p	riority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f)	
		Some * c) None of:		·	0 (,	(=, =, (-,-	
1.⊠	Certif	ied copies of the priority do	cuments h	nave been received.			
2.		ied copies of the priority do				n No.	
3 * See t	Copie a _l	es of the certified copies of pplication from the Internation hed detailed Office action f	the priority onal Burea	documents have b	een received a)).	in this National S	Stage
		nent is made of a claim for					application)
a) 🔲	The trar	nslation of the foreign langunent is made of a claim for	age provis	sional application ha	s been recei	ved.	
Notice of R Notice of D Information	raftsperso Disclosur	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape		5) L Notic	e of Informal Par	PTO-413) Paper No(s tent Application (PTO	.) -152)
Patent and Tredemar O-326 (Rev. 04-			Offic Actio	n Summary		Part of	Paper No. 9

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed June 26, 2002.
 Claim 3 is canceled.

Claims 1-2 and 4-21 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 9 and 13-18 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claim 9 now has more apparatus structure limitations and therefore does not fall under the scope of the method of manufacturing as once previously considered to do.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 9 and 13-18 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,917

Art Unit: 2822

Page 3

R sponse to Am ndment

5. The amendment filed June 26, 2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "without using plasma", "preferably every time a thickness of the formed silicon nitride film reaches 3000 angstroms, the silicon nitride film formed in the reaction container is removed, with NF₃ gas flowing into the reaction container".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-12, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new limitations: "without using plasma", "every time a thickness of the formed silicon nitride film reaches 3000 angstroms, the silicon nitride film formed in the reaction container is removed, with NF₃ gas flowing into the reaction container".

Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al. (JP 06-080962) (Translation) in view of Moore et al. (U.S. 6,251,802).

Mori et al. teaches forming a silicon nitride film on a reaction container, removing silicon nitride film by introducing NF₃ gas. Mori et al. teaches the silicon nitride film is deposited by CVD using silane and NH₃. Mori et al. discloses the pressure ranging from several Torr to normal pressure (Translation).

Mori et al. fails to show forming the silicon nitride film with bis tertiary butyl amino silane and NH₃. However, Moore et al. shows forming a silicon nitride layer with bis tertiary butyl amino silane and NH₃ by chemical vapor deposition (col. 5, lines 25-35, col. 7, lines 3-15).

Mori et al. does not specifically show the reaction container being made of quartz. However, this is well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mori et al.'s process by including the use of bis tertiary butyl amino silane as taught Moore et al. The modification would eliminate buildup of the silicon nitride layer on internal chamber parts and would produce less global warming gas effluents.

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langan et al. (U.S. 5,413,670) in view of Moore et al. (U.S. 6,251,802).

Langan et al. teaches forming a silicon nitride film on a reaction container, removing silicon nitride film from a CVD reactor by introducing NF₃ gas (Abstract, col. 4, lines 3-10).

Langan et al. fails to show forming the silicon nitride film with bis tertiary butyl amino silane and NH₃. However, Moore et al. shows forming a silicon nitride layer with bis tertiary butyl amino silane and NH₃ by chemical vapor deposition (col. 5, lines 25-35, col. 7, lines 3-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Langan et al.'s process by including the use of bis tertiary butyl amino silane as taught Moore et al. The modification would eliminate buildup of the silicon nitride layer on internal chamber parts and would produce less global warming gas effluents.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-17, and 19-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 703-305-0162.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on 703-308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

 $\frac{\mathsf{M}\mathcal{G}}{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{G}}$

October 21, 2002

CARL WHITEHEAD, JA.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800